

# ON THE SZEGÖ KERNEL OF CARTAN–HARTOGS DOMAINS

ANDREA LOI, DARIA UCCHEDDU, MICELA ZEDDA

**ABSTRACT.** Inspired by the work of Z. Lu and G. Tian [21] in the compact setting, in this paper we address the problem of studying the Szegő kernel of the disk bundle over a noncompact Kähler manifold. In particular we compute the Szegő kernel of the disk bundle over a Cartan–Hartogs domain based on a bounded symmetric domain. The main ingredients in our analysis are the fact that every Cartan–Hartogs domain can be viewed as an “iterated” disk bundle over its base and the ideas given in [4] for the computation of the Szegő kernel of the disk bundle over an Hermitian symmetric space of compact type.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(L, h)$  be an Hermitian line bundle over a Kähler manifold  $(M, \omega)$  of complex dimension  $n$  such that  $\text{Ric}(h) = \omega$ , where  $\text{Ric}(h)$  is a two-form on  $M$  whose local expression is given by:

$$\text{Ric}(h) = -\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log h(\sigma(x), \sigma(x)), \quad (1)$$

for a trivializing holomorphic section  $\sigma : U \rightarrow L \setminus \{0\}$ . In the (pre)quantum mechanics terminology the pair  $(L, h)$  is a *geometric quantization* of  $(M, \omega)$  and  $L$  is called the *quantum line bundle*. For all integers  $m > 0$  consider the line bundle  $(L^{\otimes m}, h_m)$  over  $(M, \omega)$  with  $\text{Ric}(h_m) = m\omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_m$  be the complex Hilbert space consisting of the  $L^{\otimes m}$ ’s global holomorphic sections bounded with respect to the norm generated by the  $L^2$ -product:

$$\langle s, t \rangle_m = \int_M h_m(s(x), t(x)) \frac{\omega^n}{n!}(x),$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32Q15; 32A25; 32M15.

*Key words and phrases.* Kähler manifolds; TYZ asymptotic expansion; Cartan–Hartogs domain; Szegő kernel; Contact form.

The first author was supported by Prin 2010/11 – Varietà reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica – Italy; the third author was supported by the project FIRB “Geometria Differenziale e teoria geometrica delle funzioni”. All the authors were supported by INdAM-GNSAGA - Gruppo Nazionale per le Strutture Algebriche, Geometriche e le loro Applicazioni.

for  $s, t \in \mathcal{H}_m$ . Note that if  $M$  is compact, then  $\mathcal{H}_m = H^0(L^{\otimes m})$  is finite dimensional. Given an orthonormal basis  $s^m = (s_0^m, \dots, s_{N_m}^m)$  of  $\mathcal{H}_m$  (with  $N_m + 1 = \dim \mathcal{H}_m \leq \infty$ ) with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_m$ , one can define a smooth and positive real valued function on  $M$ , called the *Kempf's distortion function*:

$$T_m(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{N_m} h_m(s_j^m(x), s_j^m(x)). \quad (2)$$

As suggested by the notation, it is not difficult to verify that this function depends only on the Kähler form  $m\omega$  and not on the orthonormal basis chosen. When  $M$  is compact, G. Tian [28] and W. Ruan [27] solved a conjecture posed by Yau by proving that the metric  $g$ , associated to the form  $\omega$ , is the  $C^\infty$ -limit of Bergman metrics. Zelditch [29] generalized Tian–Ruan's theorem by proving the existence of a complete asymptotic expansion in the  $C^\infty$  category, namely

$$T_m(x) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(x) m^{n-j}, \quad (3)$$

where  $a_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ , are smooth coefficients with  $a_0(x) = 1$ , and for any nonnegative integers  $r, k$  the following estimate holds:

$$\left\| T_m(x) - \sum_{j=0}^k a_j(x) m^{n-j} \right\|_{C^r} \leq C_{k,r} m^{n-k-1}, \quad (4)$$

where  $C_{k,r}$  is a constant depending on  $k$ ,  $r$  and on the Kähler form  $\omega$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_{C^r}$  denotes the  $C^r$  norm in local coordinates (notice that similar asymptotic expansions were used in [6], [7], [8], [9], [22] and [23] to construct star products on Kähler manifolds).

Later on, Lu [20], by means of Tian's peak section method, proved that each of the coefficients  $a_j(x)$  in (3) is a polynomial of the curvature and its covariant derivatives at  $x$  of the metric  $g$ . Such polynomials can be found by finitely many algebraic operations. Furthermore, Lu computes the first three coefficients  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$  and  $a_3$  of this expansion (see also [17] and [18] for the computations of the coefficients  $a_j$ 's through Calabi's diastasis function). The expansion (3) is called the *TYZ (Tian–Yau–Zelditch) expansion* and it is a key ingredient in the investigations of balanced metrics [10] (see also [2]). Notice that prescribing the values of the coefficients of the TYZ expansion gives rise to interesting elliptic PDEs as shown by Z. Lu and G. Tian [21]. The main result obtained in [21] is that *if the log-term of the Szegő kernel of*

the unit disk bundle over  $M$  vanishes then  $a_k = 0$ , for all  $k > n$ . Recall that the disk bundle over  $M$  is the strongly pseudoconvex domain  $D \subset M$  defined by  $D = \{v \in M \mid \rho(v) > 0\}$  and we denote by  $X = \partial D$  its boundary. Given the separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^2(X)$  consisting of all holomorphic functions on  $D$  which are continuous on  $X$  and satisfy:

$$\int_X |f|^2 d\nu < \infty,$$

where  $d\nu = \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n$  and  $\alpha = -i\partial\rho|_X = i\bar{\partial}\rho|_X$  is the contact form on  $X$  associated to the strongly pseudoconvex domain  $D$  (the 1-form  $\alpha$  is defined on the smooth part of  $X$ ), the Szegő kernel of  $D$  is defined by:

$$\mathcal{S}(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} f_j(v) \overline{f_j(v)}, \quad v \in D,$$

where  $\{f_j\}_{j=1,\dots}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}^2(X)$ . A direct computation of the Szegő kernel could be in general very complicated. Although, when  $D \subset M$  is a strongly pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary, the following celebrated formula due to Fefferman (see [15] and also [5]) shows that there exist functions  $a$  and  $b$  continuous on  $\bar{D}$  and with  $a \neq 0$  on  $X$ , such that:

$$\mathcal{S}(v) = \frac{a(v)}{\rho(v)^{n+1}} + b(v) \log \rho(v). \quad (5)$$

The function  $b(v)$  is called the *logarithmic term* (or *log-term*) of the Szegő kernel and one says that the log-term of the Szegő kernel of  $D$  vanishes if  $b = 0$ .

Z. Lu has conjectured (private communication) that the converse of the above mentioned result is true:

**Conjecture 1** (Lu). *Let  $(L, h)$  be a positive line bundle over a compact complex manifold  $(M, \omega)$  of dimension  $n$  such that  $\text{Ric}(h) = \omega$ . If the coefficients  $a_k$  of TYZ in (3) vanish for all  $k > n$ , then the log-term of the Szegő kernel of the unit disk bundle over  $M$  vanishes.*

In [16] (see also [19]) the authors address the problem of the existence of a TYZ expansion in the noncompact case and study its coefficients.

In this paper we study the analogous of the previous conjecture for an important family of noncompact Kähler manifolds called Cartan-Hartogs domains, defined as follows. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^d$  be a bounded symmetric domain

of genus  $\gamma$  and denote by  $N = N(z)$  its *generic norm*, namely,

$$N(z) = (V(\Omega)K(z, z))^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

where  $V(\Omega)$  is the total volume of  $\Omega$  with respect to the Euclidean measure of  $\mathbb{C}^d$  and  $K(z, z)$  is its Bergman kernel (see e.g. [1] for more details). The *Cartan-Hartogs domain*  $M_\Omega^{d_0}(\mu)$  based on  $\Omega$  is the pseudoconvex domain of  $\mathbb{C}^{d+d_0}$  defined by ( $\mu > 0$  is a fixed constant):

$$M_\Omega^{d_0}(\mu) = \left\{ (z, w) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{C}^{d_0}, \|w\|^2 < N^\mu(z) \right\}. \quad (6)$$

It can be equipped with the natural Kähler form:

$$\omega_{d_0} = -\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(N^\mu(z) - \|w\|^2).$$

The Kähler manifold  $(M_\Omega^{d_0}(\mu), \omega_{d_0})$  has been studied by several authors from different analytic and geometric points of view (see for example [14] [13], [24], [25], [26] and [31]). One can consider the trivial line bundle<sup>1</sup>  $L = M_\Omega^{d_0}(\mu) \times \mathbb{C}$  on  $M_\Omega^{d_0}(\mu)$  endowed with the Hermitian metric:

$$h_{d_0}(z, w; \xi) = (N^\mu(z) - \|w\|^2) |\xi|^2, \quad (z, w) \in M_\Omega^{d_0}(\mu), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (7)$$

which satisfies  $\text{Ric}(h_{d_0}) = \omega_{d_0}$  (cfr. Equation (1)).

The main result about the TYZ expansion for Cartan-Hartogs domains is expressed by the following recent result in [14], which shows that in this case the expansion is indeed finite, namely it is a polynomial in  $m$  of degree  $d + d_0 = \dim M_\Omega^{d_0}(\mu)$  with computable (non-constant) coefficients.

**Theorem 2** (Feng-Tu). *Let  $m > \max \left\{ d + d_0, \frac{\gamma-1}{\mu} \right\}$ , then the Kempf's distortion function associated to  $(M_\Omega^{d_0}(\mu), \omega_{d_0})$  can be written as:*

$$T_m(z, w) = \frac{1}{\mu^d} \sum_{k=0}^d \frac{D^k \tilde{X}(d)}{k!} \left( 1 - \frac{\|w\|^2}{N^\mu} \right)^{d-k} \frac{\Gamma(m - d + k)}{\Gamma(m - d - d_0)}, \quad (8)$$

with

$$D^k \tilde{X}(d) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-1)^j \prod_{l=1}^r \frac{\Gamma(\mu(d-j) - \gamma + 2 - (l+1)\frac{a}{2} + b + ra)}{\Gamma(\mu(d-j) - \gamma + 1 + (l-1)\frac{a}{2})}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Due to the contractibility and pseudoconvexity of  $M_\Omega^{d_0}(\mu)$ , any holomorphic line bundle over  $M_\Omega^{d_0}(\mu)$  is holomorphically trivial.

Formula (8) implies, in particular, that  $a_k = 0$  for  $k > d + d_0$ . Therefore it is natural to see if Conjecture 1 holds true in this (noncompact) case.<sup>2</sup>

Notice that the disk bundle of a Cartan-Hartogs  $M_\Omega^{d_0}(\mu)$  is the Cartan-Hartogs domain  $M_\Omega^{d_0+1}(\mu)$ , whose the boundary of  $M_\Omega^{d_0+1}(\mu)$  is not smooth being:

$$\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu) = \partial\Omega \cup \{(z, w) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{C} \mid |w|^2 = N^\mu\}.$$

Thus, it does not make sense to speak of the log-term of the Szegő kernel, since formula (5) applies only when the domain involved has smooth boundary. Nevertheless, in order to consider the case of Cartan-Hartogs domain, we give the following definition (which in the smooth boundary case coincides with the standard one).

**Definition 3.** Let  $D \subset M$  be a strongly pseudoconvex domain in a complex  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ , let  $X = \partial D$  be its boundary with defining function  $\rho > 0$ , i.e.  $D = \{v \in M \mid \rho(v) > 0\}$ . Assume that the points where  $X$  fails to be smooth are of measure zero. We say that *the log-term of the Szegő kernel of the disk bundle vanishes* if there exists a continuous function  $a$  on  $\bar{D}$  with  $a \neq 0$  on  $X$ , such that  $\mathcal{S}(v) = \frac{a(v)}{\rho(v)^{n+1}}$ .

The main result of this paper is the following:

**Theorem 4.** *The log-term of the Szegő kernel of the disk bundle over a Cartan-Hartogs domain vanishes.*

In the next section we compute the Szegő kernel of  $(M_\Omega^{d_0}(\mu), \omega_{d_0})$  and prove Theorem 4.

## 2. SZEGÖ KERNEL OF CARTAN-HARTOGS DOMAINS

In the following lemma, needed in the proof of Theorem 4, we compute the volume form  $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^d$  on the boundary  $\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu)$  of  $M_\Omega^1(\mu)$ , namely a Cartan-Hartogs domain with  $d_0 = 1$ .

**Lemma 5.** *The volume form  $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^d$  on the boundary  $\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu)$  is given in polar coordinates  $(\rho, \theta)$  by:*

$$\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^d = \left( \frac{2\mu}{\gamma} \right)^d N^{\mu(d+1)-\gamma} d\theta_w \wedge \frac{\omega_0^d}{d!},$$

<sup>2</sup>Formula (8) is used by Feng and Tu to give a positive answer to a conjecture posed by the third author of the present paper in [31], namely they prove that if coefficient  $a_2$  is constant, then  $M_\Omega^{d_0}(\mu)$  is the complex hyperbolic space. This formula has been also used in [32] to study the Berezin quantization of  $(M_\Omega^{d_0}(\mu), \omega_{d_0})$ .

where  $\frac{\omega_0^d}{d!}$  is the standard volume form of  $\mathbb{C}^d$  and  $\theta_w = \theta_{d+1}$ .

*Proof.* By definition  $\alpha = -i\partial\rho|_{\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu)}$ , where  $\rho = N^\mu - |w|^2 > 0$  is the defining function of  $M_\Omega^1(\mu)$ . Thus, we get:

$$\alpha = -i \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j N^\mu dz_j - \bar{w} dw \right).$$

Furthermore, by  $d\alpha = (\partial + \bar{\partial}) \alpha = -i\bar{\partial}\partial\rho$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha &= -i \left( \sum_{j,k=1}^d N_{j\bar{k}}^\mu dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k - dw \wedge d\bar{w} \right) = i \left( dw \wedge d\bar{w} - \sum_{j,k=1}^d N_{j\bar{k}}^\mu dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k \right), \\ (d\alpha)^d &= i^d \left( \det(-N_{j\bar{k}}^\mu) d\xi + \sum_{s,q=1}^d (-1)^{s+q} \det(-N_{j\bar{k}}^\mu)_{s\bar{q}} d\zeta_{s\bar{q}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we write  $N_j^\mu = \partial N^\mu / \partial z_j$ ,  $N_{\bar{k}}^\mu = \partial N^\mu / \partial \bar{z}_k$  and  $N_{j\bar{k}}^\mu = \partial^2 N^\mu / \partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k$ , we denote by  $d\xi = dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_d \wedge d\bar{z}_d$  and by  $d\zeta_{\bar{q}}$ , (resp.  $d\zeta_{s\bar{q}}$ ) the form  $d\xi$  where the term  $d\bar{z}_q$  (resp. the terms  $dz_s, d\bar{z}_q$ ) is replaced by  $d\bar{w}$  (resp.  $dz_s$  with  $dw$  and  $d\bar{z}_q$  with  $d\bar{w}$ ). Further, we write  $(-N_{j\bar{k}}^\mu)_{s\bar{q}}$  for the matrix  $(-N_{j\bar{k}}^\mu)$  where the  $s$ -th row and the  $q$ -th column have been deleted. Thus, the volume form  $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^d$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^d &= -i^{d+1} \left( \sum_{s,q=1}^d (-1)^{s+q} N_s^\mu \det(-N_{j\bar{k}}^\mu)_{s\bar{q}} dz_s \wedge d\zeta_{s\bar{q}} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. -\bar{w} \det(-N_{j\bar{k}}^\mu) dw \wedge d\xi \right). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Observe first that:

$$dz_s \wedge d\zeta_{s\bar{q}} = -dw \wedge d\zeta_{\bar{q}} = dw \wedge d\bar{w} \wedge d\xi_{\bar{q}},$$

where  $d\xi_{\bar{q}}$  is the form  $d\xi$  where the term  $d\bar{z}_q$  was deleted. Further, evaluating at the boundary, turning to polar coordinates  $(\rho, \theta)$  and denoting  $\rho_{d+1}$  by  $\rho_w$  and  $\theta_{d+1}$  by  $\theta_w$ , from  $\rho_w^2 = N^\mu$  one has  $2\rho_w d\rho_w = \sum_{j=1}^d N_j^\mu e^{-i\theta_j} (d\rho_j - i\rho_j d\theta_j)$  and we get:

$$\bar{w} dw \wedge d\xi = \rho_w (d\rho_w + i\rho_w d\theta_w) \wedge d\xi = iN^\mu d\theta_w \wedge d\xi, \tag{10}$$

and

$$dw \wedge d\bar{w} = -2i\rho_w d\rho_w \wedge d\theta_w = -i \sum_{j=1}^d N_j^\mu d\bar{z}_j \wedge d\theta_w,$$

which yields

$$dz_s \wedge d\zeta_{s\bar{q}} = -iN_{\bar{q}}^\mu d\bar{z}_q \wedge d\theta_w \wedge d\zeta_{\bar{q}} = -iN_{\bar{q}}^\mu d\theta_w \wedge d\xi. \quad (11)$$

Substituting (10) and (11) into (9) we get:

$$\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^d = i^d A d\theta_w \wedge d\xi = 2^d A d\theta_w \wedge \frac{\omega_0^d}{d!},$$

where we used that  $\frac{\omega_0^d}{d!} = \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^d d\xi$  and we set:

$$A = N^\mu \det \left( \left[ -N_{j\bar{k}}^\mu \right] \right) - \sum_{j,k=1}^d (-1)^{j+k} N_j^\mu N_{\bar{k}}^\mu \det \left( \left[ -N_{p\bar{q}}^\mu \right] \right)_{j\bar{k}}.$$

It remains to show that:

$$A = \left( \frac{\mu}{\gamma} \right)^d N^{\mu(d+1)-\gamma}. \quad (12)$$

In order to prove (12), consider the metric  $g_\Omega$  of the domain  $\Omega$  associated to  $\omega_\Omega$  defined by  $(g_\Omega)_{j\bar{k}} = \frac{\partial^2 \log(N^\mu)}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}$ . A direct computation gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(g_\Omega) &= \det \left( \left[ \frac{N_j^\mu N_{\bar{k}}^\mu - N_{j\bar{k}}^\mu N^\mu}{N^{2\mu}} \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N^{2d\mu}} \det \left( \left[ N_j^\mu N_{\bar{k}}^\mu - N_{j\bar{k}}^\mu N^\mu \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{N_1^\mu \cdots N_d^\mu}{N^{2d\mu}} \det \left( \left[ N_{\bar{k}}^\mu - \frac{N_{j\bar{k}}^\mu N^\mu}{N_j^\mu} \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{\prod_{h=1}^d N_h^\mu N_{\bar{h}}^\mu}{N^{2d\mu}} \det \left( [1] + \left[ -\frac{N_{j\bar{k}}^\mu N^\mu}{N_j^\mu N_{\bar{k}}^\mu} \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N^{d\mu}} \det \left( \left[ -N_{j\bar{k}}^\mu \right] \right) - \frac{1}{N^{\mu(d+1)}} \sum_{j,k=1}^d (-1)^{j+k} N_j^\mu N_{\bar{k}}^\mu \det \left( \left[ -N_{p\bar{q}}^\mu \right] \right)_{j\bar{k}} \\ &= \frac{A}{N^{\mu(d+1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion follows by:

$$\det(g_\Omega) = \left( \frac{\mu}{\gamma} \right)^d \det(g_B) = \left( \frac{\mu}{\gamma} \right)^d N^{-\gamma},$$

where  $g_B = \frac{\mu}{\gamma} g_\Omega$  is the Bergman metric on  $\Omega$  (whose determinant can be obtained easily by considering that it is Kähler–Einstein with Einstein constant  $-2$ ).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* Observe first that by an inflation principle (see e.g. Section 2.3 in [24]) we can assume without loss of generality  $d_0 = 1$ . In this case the defining function  $\rho(z, w) = N^\mu(z) - |w|^2$  and

$$\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu) = \partial\Omega \cup \{(z, w) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{C} \mid |w|^2 = N^\mu\}.$$

Observe that although  $\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu)$  is smooth only when  $\Omega$  is of rank 1 (i.e. when  $\Omega$  is the complex hyperbolic space), the points where it fails to be smooth are of measure zero. The volume form  $d\nu = \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^d$  reads :

$$d\nu = \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^d = \left(\frac{2\mu}{\gamma}\right)^d N^{\mu(d+1)-\gamma} d\theta_w \wedge \frac{\omega_0^d}{d!}, \quad (13)$$

where  $\frac{\omega_0^d}{d!}$  is the standard Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{C}^d$  ( $\omega_0$  is the flat Kähler form on  $\mathbb{C}^d$ ). In order to compute the Szegő kernel  $\mathcal{S}_{M_\Omega^1(\mu)}$  of  $M_\Omega^1(\mu)$  one needs to find an orthonormal basis of the separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^2(\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu))$  (Hardy space) consisting of all holomorphic functions  $\hat{s}$  on  $M_\Omega^1(\mu)$ , continuous on  $\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu)$  and such that

$$\int_{\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu)} |\hat{s}|^2 d\nu < \infty.$$

Consider the Hilbert space:

$$\mathcal{H}_m^2(\Omega) = \left\{ s \in \text{Hol}(\Omega) \mid \int_\Omega N^{\mu m} |s(z)|^2 \frac{\omega_\Omega^d}{d!} < \infty \right\},$$

(where  $\omega_\Omega = \frac{\gamma}{\mu} \omega_B$  is the Kähler form in  $\Omega$  given by  $\omega_\Omega = -\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log N^\mu$ ) and the map:

$$\wedge : \mathcal{H}_m^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2(\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu)) : s \mapsto \hat{s} \quad (14)$$

defined by

$$\hat{s}(v) = 2^{-\frac{d}{2}} N(z, z)^{-\frac{\mu(d+1)}{2}} w^m s(z), \quad v = (z, w) \in \partial M_\Omega^1(\mu).$$

Notice that the Hardy space  $\mathcal{H}^2(\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu))$  admits a Fourier decomposition into irreducible factors with respect to the natural  $S^1$ -action, i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}^2(\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu)) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{H}_m^2(\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu)),$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_m^2(\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu)) := \{\hat{s} \in \mathcal{H}^2(\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu)) \mid \hat{s}(\lambda v) = \lambda^m \hat{s}(v)\}$  and  $\lambda v := (z, \lambda w)$ , for  $v = (z, w)$ . Since

$$\frac{\omega_\Omega^d}{d!} = \left(\frac{\mu}{\gamma}\right)^d N^{-\gamma} \frac{\omega_0^d}{d!},$$



it is not hard to see that the map  $\wedge$  defines an isometry between  $H_m^2(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_m^2(\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu))$ . Thus, if we consider the orthogonal projection of the Szegő kernel on each  $\mathcal{H}_m^2(\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu))$ , we get:

$$\mathcal{S}_{M_\Omega^1(\mu)}(v) = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \hat{s}_j^m(v) \overline{\hat{s}_j^m(v)} = 2^{-d} N^{-\mu(d+1)} \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} |w|^{2m} |s_j^m(z)|^2, \quad (15)$$

where  $s_j^m, j = 0, 1, \dots$  is an orthonormal basis of  $H_m^2(\Omega)$  and  $\hat{s}_j^m = \wedge(s_j^m)$  is the corresponding orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}_m^2(\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu))$ .

It is well-known (for a proof, see e.g. [11, p.77] or [12, Ch. XIII.1]) that  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} N^{\mu m} |s_j^m(z)|^2$  is a polynomial in  $m$  of degree  $d = \dim \Omega$ , hence it can be written as:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} N^{\mu m} |s_j^m(z)|^2 = \sum_{l=0}^d b_l \binom{m+l}{l}$$

where  $b_l$  depends on the metric  $g_\Omega$  associated to  $\omega_\Omega$ . Thus, this formula together with (15) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{M_\Omega^1(\mu)}(v) &= 2^{-d} N^{-\mu(d+1)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^d |w|^{2m} N^{-\mu m} b_l \binom{m+l}{l} \\ &= 2^{-d} N^{-\mu(d+1)} \sum_{l=0}^d b_l \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{m+l}{l} (|w|^2 N^{-\mu})^m \\ &= 2^{-d} N^{-\mu(d+1)} \sum_{l=0}^d b_l \frac{1}{(1 - |w|^2 N^{-\mu})^{l+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{M_\Omega^1(\mu)}(v) &= 2^{-d} N^{-\mu(d+1)} \left[ \frac{b_0 N^\mu}{(N^\mu - |w|^2)} + \dots + \frac{b_d N^{\mu(d+1)}}{(N^\mu - |w|^2)^{d+1}} \right] \\ &= 2^{-d} \frac{b_0 N^{-\mu d} (N^\mu - |w|^2)^d + \dots + b_{d-1} N^{-\mu} (N^\mu - |w|^2)^2 + b_d}{(N^\mu - |w|^2)^{d+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that in the above expression, all terms except  $b_d = d! m^d$  vanish once evaluated at the boundary  $\partial M_\Omega^1(\mu)$ . The vanishing of the log-term of  $\mathcal{S}_{M_\Omega^1(\mu)}$  (as in Definition 3) follows then by setting:

$$a(v) = 2^{-d} \left( b_0 N^{-\mu d} (N^\mu - |w|^2)^d + \dots + b_{d-1} N^{-\mu} (N^\mu - |w|^2)^2 + b_d \right).$$

□

**Remark 6.** It is worth pointing out that in [13] it is shown that the log-term of the Szegő kernel of  $M_{\Omega}^{d_0}(\mu) \subset \mathbb{C}^{d+d_0}$  vanishes (in the sense of our Definition 3) when the Szegő kernel is obtained using the standard volume form of  $\mathbb{C}^{d+d_0}$  restricted to  $\partial M_{\Omega}^{d_0}(\mu)$  instead of the volume form  $d\nu = \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^d$  used in this paper. The reader is referred also to [24] for the proof of the vanishing of the log-term of the Bergman kernel.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Arazy, *A survey of invariant Hilbert spaces of analytic functions on bounded symmetric domains*, Contemp. Math. 185 (1995), 7-65.
- [2] C. Arezzo and A. Loi, *Moment maps, scalar curvature and quantization of Kähler manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. 246 (2004), 543-549.
- [3] A. Loi, *Balanced metrics on  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , J. Geom. Phys. 57 (2007), 1115-1123.
- [4] C. Arezzo, A. Loi, F. Zuddas, *Szegő kernel, regular quantizations and spherical CR-structures*, arXiv:1207.6468v1
- [5] M. Beals, C. Fefferman, R. Grossman, *Strictly pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Bull. of the AMS, vol. 8, no. 2 (1983), 125-322.
- [6] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. H. Rawnsley, *Quantization of Kähler manifolds I: Geometric interpretation of Berezin's quantization*, JGP. 7 (1990), 45-62.
- [7] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. H. Rawnsley, *Quantization of Kähler manifolds II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 337 (1993), 73-98.
- [8] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. H. Rawnsley, *Quantization of Kähler manifolds III*, Lett. Math. Phys. 30 (1994), 291-305.
- [9] M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. H. Rawnsley, *Quantization of Kähler manifolds IV*, Lett. Math. Phys. 34 (1995), 159-168.
- [10] S. Donaldson, *Scalar Curvature and Projective Embeddings, I*, J. Diff. Geometry 59 (2001), 479-522.
- [11] J. Faraut, A. Korányi, *Function spaces and reproducing kernels on bounded symmetric domains*, J. Funct. Anal. 88 (1990), 64-89.
- [12] J. Faraut, A. Korányi, *Analysis on symmetric cones*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994.
- [13] Z. Feng, *Hilbert spaces of holomorphic functions on generalized Cartan-Hartogs domains*, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations (2011).
- [14] Z. Feng, Z. Tu, *On canonical metrics on Cartan-Hartogs domains*, Math. Z., Vol. 278, pp. 301-320, (2014).
- [15] C. Fefferman, *The Bergman kernel and biholomorphic mappings of pseudoconvex domains*, Invent. Math. 26 (1974), 1-65.
- [16] T. Gramchev, A. Loi, *TYZ expansion for the Kepler manifold*, Comm. Math. Phys. 289, (2009), 825-840.
- [17] A. Loi, *The Tian-Yau-Zelditch asymptotic expansion for real analytic Kähler metrics*, Int. J. of Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 1 (2004), 253-263.
- [18] A. Loi, *A Laplace integral, the T-Y-Z expansion and Berezin's transform on a Kaehler manifold*, Int. J. of Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 2 (2005), 359-371.

- [19] A. Loi, M. Zedda, F. Zuddas *Same remarks on the Kähler geometry of the Taub-NUT metrics*, Ann. of Glob. Anal. and Geom., Vol. 41 n.4 (2012), 515–533.
- [20] Z. Lu, *On the lower terms of the asymptotic expansion of Tia-Yau-Zelditch*, Amer. J. Math. 122 (2000), 235–273.
- [21] Z. Lu, G. Tian, *The log term of Szegő Kernel*, Duke Math. J. 125, N 2 (2004), 351–387.
- [22] C. Moreno, P. Ortega-Navarro, *\*-products on  $D^1(C)$ ,  $S^2$  and related spectral analysis*, Lett. Math. Phys. 7 (1983), 181–193.
- [23] C. Moreno, *Star-products on some Kähler manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. 11 (1986), 361–372.
- [24] W. Yin, K. Lu, G. Roos, *New classes of domains with explicit Bergman kernel*, Science in China 47, no. 3 (2004), 352–371.
- [25] W. Yin, *The Bergman kernel on Super-Cartan domain of the first type*, Sci. China, Series A, (1999), 29(7), 607–615.
- [26] W. Ying *The Bergman kernel on four type of Super-Cartan domains*, Chinese Science Bulletin, 1999, 44(13), 1391–1395.
- [27] W. D. Ruan, *Canonical coordinates and Bergmann metrics*, Comm. in Anal. and Geom. (1998), 589–631.
- [28] G. Tian, *On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds*, J. Diff. Geometry 32 (1990), 99–130.
- [29] S. Zelditch, *Szegő Kernels and a Theorem of Tian*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 6 (1998), 317–331.
- [30] S. Zhang, *Heights and reductions of semi-stable varieties*, Comput. Math. 104, (1996), 77–105.
- [31] M. Zedda, *Canonical metrics on Cartan-Hartogs domains*, Int. J. of Geom. Meth. in Mod. Ph., Vol. 9, No. 1 (2012).
- [32] M. Zedda, *Berezin–Engliš’ quantization of Cartan–Hartogs domains*, arXiv:1404.1749 [math.DG] (preprint 2014)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CAGLIARI, VIA OSPEDALE 72, 09124 CAGLIARI, ITALY

*E-mail address:* loi@unica.it; daria.uccheddu@tiscali.it; michela.zedda@gmail.com